

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | . ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/682,543 | 10/09/2003 | Kenneth Hagan | 8301-00236 | 9716 | |
| 26659 RACCIO & D | 7590 01/26/2007 INNIN P.C | EXAMINER | | | |
| RAGGIO & DINNIN, P.C. 2701 CAMBRIDGE COURT, STE. 410 | | | ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE | | |
| AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3676 | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | · | 01/26/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/682,543 | HAGAN, KENNETH | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Gary Estremsky | 3676 | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/682,543 HAGAN, KENNETH | | i i | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Gary Estremsky | 3676 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 15-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | is necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER / | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | ιτ does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | Word M | | | | |
| | | Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3676 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Entry of the proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims and would raise new issues not proper for entry after close of the unlimited stage of prosecution.

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner notes that Final Office action mistakenly notes claim 21 as rejected where no claim 21 is pending..